

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/772,413 02/06/2004 Christiaan H.P. Dirks 4662-269 2078 **EXAMINER** 23117 7590 11/16/2006 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC REIMERS, ANNETTE R 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ARLINGTON, VA 22203 3733

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/772,413	DIRKS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Annette R. Reimers	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2006.		
· = · · · · · · - <del> ·</del>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Discrete: Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
2)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 05, 2006 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,790,850), cited by applicant on 1449.

Dunn et al. disclose a method for tying together at least two bone parts, using a high molecular weight polyethylene fiber surgical cable of finite length, 10, wherein the cable which is a closed loop flat braided high performance, having two end parts, 24 and 36, around at least part of the objects to be tied together, urging the objects together by exerting a force on the two end parts, further tensioning the surgical cable

around the objects with the help of a device, 52, and locking the cable against the influence of forces acting counter to the exerted force, wherein the exerted force is a torsion force, and the exerted force comprises a drawing force and a twisting force (see figures 1-6 and column 6, lines 17-20).

The cable is twisted having an eye/knot at both ends, the torsion force is exerted on the cable through the eye and a torsion force is exerted on the cable below the knot (see figures 1 and 5). Furthermore, the cable is a loop of fibers that has been closed by a splice, which is folded around the bone parts forming two returning ends in the cable as end parts (see figures 1 and 5 and column 6, lines 67-68 and column 7, lines 1-2). Moreover, the torsion force is exerted on the cable through the returning ends and on a twisting device, e.g. 58, running through the return ends (see figures 1-6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,790,850) in view of Crouch et al. (US Patent Number 4,788,814).

Dunn et al. disclose the claimed method except for specifically reciting the splice comprising an air splice. Crouch et al. disclose a method using air splicing and teach the use of air splicing to connect the trailing end to the leading end of the yarn (see

Art Unit: 3733

abstract). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made for the method of Dunn et al., to include the splice comprising an air splice, in view of Crouch et al., to connect the trailing end to the leading end of the yarn. In addition, air splicing is well known in the art.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,413

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

M

EDUARDO S. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER